Call #: QA278.7 .O72X v.11

Volume: 11

Location: 4E - 1/5

Issue: 2

Month/Year: 1994 Pages: 127-134

Article Author: Brightwell

Article Title: The dimension of suborders of

the Boolean lattice

William Trotter (wt48) School of Mathematics Georgia Tech Atlanta, GA 30332

Faculty Math

This article has been provided to you by the Information Delivery
Department at the Georgia Tech Library.

Please contact us at 404-894-4511 or delivery@library.gatech.edu if you have any questions or concerns.

Notice: Warning Concerning Copyright Restrictions

The Copyright Law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material.

Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction.

This material may be protected by copyright law. Any reproduction or distribution of this material, in any format, may be an infringement of the Copyright Law. This reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes or later uses a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "Fair Use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

The Dimension of Suborders of the Boolean Lattice

R. BRIGHTWELL

Department of Mathematics, London School of Economics, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE, U.K. (F-mail: grb10@phoenix.cambridge.ac.uk)

II A. KIERSTEAD

Department of Mathematics, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287, U.S.A. (f. mail: kierstead@math.la.asu.edu)

A V. KOSTOCHKA

Institute of Mathematics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, § 10090 Novosibirsk 90, Russia (E-mail: sasha@math.nsk.su)

and

W. T. TROTTER

Hall Communications Research, 445 South Street 2L-367, Morristown, NJ 07962, U.S.A., and Department of Mathematics, Arizona State University, Tempe AZ 85287, U.S.A. (F-mail: wtt@bellcore.com)

Communicated by W. T. Trotter

(Received: 20 September 1993; accepted: 15 May 1994)

Abstract. We consider the order dimension of suborders of the Boolean lattice \mathbf{B}_n . In particular we show that the suborder consisting of the middle two levels of \mathbf{B}_n has dimension at most $6 \log_3 n$. More generally, we show that the suborder consisting of levels s and s+k of \mathbf{B}_n has dimension $O(k^2 \log n)$.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (1991). 06A07, 05C35.

Key words. Ordered set, dimension, Bollean lattice, suborder.

1. Introduction

For any positive integer n, let $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, let \mathcal{B}_n be the collection of subsets of [n], and let $\mathcal{B}_n = (\mathcal{B}_n, \subseteq)$ denote the Boolean lattice, where the subsets of [n] are ordered by inclusion. For a finite set A, let C(A, k) denote the collection of k-element subsets of A. For integers n, s and t with $0 \le s < t \le n$, let $\mathcal{B}_n(s, t)$

The research of the second author was supported by Office of Naval Research Grant N00014-90-J-

The research of the third author was supported by Grant 93-011-1486 of the Russian Fundamental Research Foundation.

The function $\dim(s,t;n)$ was first studied by Dushnik [1] in 1950, but estimates for the function are surprisingly poor, except in the case s=1. In this case, Dushnik noted the following useful reformulation of the problem.

PROPOSITION 1.1. For all positive integers t and n, 1 < t < n, $\dim(1,t;n)$ is the least positive integer d for which there exists a set Σ of d linear orderings of [n] such that for all $X \in C([n],t)$ and all $y \in [n] - X$, there exists $L \in \Sigma$ such that in L, y is greater than every element of X.

With the aid of Proposition 1.1, Dushnik [1] proved the following result, establishing the exact value for dim(1,t;n) when $t \ge 2\sqrt{n} - 2$.

THEOREM 1.2 [1]. Let n and t be positive integers with $n \ge 4$ and $2\sqrt{n} - 2 \le t \le n - 1$. Then let j be the unique integer with $2 \le j \le \sqrt{n}$ for which

$$\Big\lfloor \frac{n-2j+j^2}{j} \Big\rfloor \leqslant t < \Big\lfloor \frac{n-2(j-1)+(j-1)^2}{j-1} \Big\rfloor.$$

Then

$$\dim(1,t;n) = n - j + 1.$$

In the remainder of this paper, we will discuss *estimates* for the dimension of ordered sets. For this reason, we will omit "floors" and "ceilings" from expressions which only have meaning for integers.

For fixed t, Spencer [6] established the asymptotic behavior of $\dim(1,t;n)$.

THEOREM 1.2 [6]. For fixed t,

$$\dim(1,t;n) = \Theta(\log\log n).$$

The following elementary result is an exercise in [7] and follows easily from Dushnik's proof of Theorem 1.2.

PROPOSITION 1.4. For all positive integers t and n with $t^2 \leqslant n$,

$$t^2/4 < \dim(1, t; n)$$
.

In view of Proposition 1.4, the following result of Füredi and Kahn [4] establishes the value of dim(1,t;n) within a multiplicative factor of order $\log t$, if $t=\Omega(n^{\varepsilon})$. The proof is simply a matter of taking d linear orderings of [n], uniformly at random from the set of all possible linear orderings, and noting that the probability that these do not form a family Σ as in Proposition 1.1 tends to 0.

DIMENSION OF SUBORDERS OF BOOLEAN LATT

PROPOSITION 1.5 [4]. For all positive intellineger satisfying

$$n\binom{n-1}{t}\left(\frac{t}{t+1}\right)^d < 1,$$

then $\dim(1,t;n) \leq d$. In particular,

$$\dim(1,t;n) \leqslant (t+1)^2 \log n.$$

Determining $\dim(1,t;n)$ for t a small grow open problem. Moreover, until recently, vehere are two well known trivial bounds.

PROPOSITION 1.6. For all positive integer

$$\dim(s',t';n') \leqslant \dim(s,t;n).$$

PROPOSITION 1.7. For all positive integer

$$\dim(s-r,t-r;n-r) \leqslant \dim(s,t;n).$$

The next two results are given by Hurlbert

THEOREM 1.8 [5]. For each positive inte

$$\dim(2, n-2; n) = n-1.$$

THEOREM 1.9 [5]. For each positive inte

$$\dim(2, n-3; n) = n-2.$$

In fact, it is shown in [5] that if $2\sqrt{n} < t$ j-2+(n-1)/j, for some positive integer While preparing this manuscript, we have the following result.

THEOREM 1.10 [2]. For each integer k

$$\dim(k, n-k; n) = n-2.$$

In this note, we provide the following up parameters $\dim(1, 2(t-s); n)$ and t-s.

 $\cup C([n], t)$. Finally, let dim(s, t; n) denote the he reader to the monograph [7] for additional ry.

Idied by Dushnik [1] in 1950, but estimates except in the case s = 1. In this case, Dushnik n of the problem.

tegers t and n, 1 < t < n, $\dim(1,t;n)$ is the e exists a set Σ of d linear orderings of [n] $y \in [n] - X$, there exists $L \in \Sigma$ such that in

x [1] proved the following result, establishing $\ge 2\sqrt{n} - 2$.

itive integers with $n \geqslant 4$ and $2\sqrt{n} - 2 \leqslant t \leqslant$ with $2 \leqslant j \leqslant \sqrt{n}$ for which

$$\frac{(1)+(j-1)^2}{-1}$$
.

iscuss estimates for the dimension of ordered ors" and "ceilings" from expressions which

he asymptotic behavior of dim(1, t; n).

xercise in [7] and follows easily from Dush-

stegers t and n with $t^2 \leqslant n$,

ing result of Füredi and Kahn [4] establishes plicative factor of order $\log t$, if $t = \Omega(n^{\epsilon})$. linear orderings of [n], uniformly at randomings, and noting that the probability that these on 1.1 tends to 0.

PROPOSITION 1.5 [4]. For all positive integers t, n, with t < n, if d is a positive integer satisfying

$$n\binom{n-1}{t}\left(\frac{t}{t+1}\right)^d < 1,$$

then $\dim(1,t;n) \leq d$. In particular,

$$\dim(1,t;n) \leqslant (t+1)^2 \log n.$$

Determining $\dim(1,t;n)$ for t a small growing function of n remains an intriguing open problem. Moreover, until recently, very little was known for the case s > 1. Here are two well known trivial bounds.

PROPOSITION 1.6. For all positive integers $s \leq s' < t' \leq t \leq n' \leq n$,

$$\dim(s', t'; n') \leq \dim(s, t; n).$$

PROPOSITION 1.7. For all positive integers r < s < t < n,

$$\dim(s-r,t-r;n-r)\leqslant\dim(s,t;n).$$

The next two results are given by Hurlbert, Kostochka and Talysheva in [5].

THEOREM 1.8 [5]. For each positive integer n with $n \ge 5$,

$$\dim(2, n-2; n) = n-1.$$

THEOREM 1.9 [5]. For each positive integer n with $n \ge 6$,

$$\dim(2, n-3; n) = n-2.$$

In fact, it is shown in [5] that if $2\sqrt{n} < t < n-2$ and t is not an integer of the form j-2+(n-1)/j, for some positive integer j, then $\dim(2,t;n)=\dim(1,t-1;n-1)$. While preparing this manuscript, we have just learned that Füredi [2] has proven the following result.

THEOREM 1.10 [2]. For each integer $k \ge 3$, there exists n_0 so that if $n > n_0$, then $\dim(k, n - k; n) = n - 2$.

In this note, we provide the following upper bound on $\dim(s, t; n)$ in terms of the parameters $\dim(1, 2(t-s); n)$ and t-s.

THEOREM 1.11. For all positive integers k, n with $2k \le n$, there exists a collection Σ of at most $\dim(1, 2k; n) + 18k \log n$ linear extensions of \mathcal{B}_n such that for any incomparable pair $(S, T) \in \mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_n$ with $|S| < |T| \le k + |S|$, there exists $L \in \Sigma$ such that T < S in L. In particular,

$$\dim(s, s + k; n) \leqslant \dim(1, 2k; n) + 18k \log n,$$

for every positive integer s, with $s + k \leq n$.

Using Theorem 1.5, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1.12. For all positive integers s, k and n, with $s + k \leq n$,

$$\dim(s, s + k; n) = O(k^2 \log n).$$

When k = 1, we can do a little better.

THEOREM 1.13. For every positive integer n, there exists a collection Σ of $6\log_3 n$ linear extensions of \mathcal{B}_n such that for any incomparable pair $(S,T) \in \mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_n$ with |T| = 1 + |S|, there exists $L \in \Sigma$ such that T < S in L. In particular,

$$\dim(s, s+1; n) \leqslant 6\log_3 n,$$

for every positive integer s with $s+1 \le n$.

For some values of s and k, we know that the inequalities in Theorems 1.11 and 1.13 are far from tight. For example, the following asymptotic formula is proved in [7], based on work [3], and following earlier results of Spencer [6].

THEOREM 1.14.

$$\dim(1,2;n) = \lg \lg n + (1/2 + o(1)) \lg \lg \lg n$$
.

For the middle two levels of the Boolean lattice, our upper and lower bounds are

$$\lg \lg n + (1/2 + o(1)) \lg \lg \lg n < \dim(s, s + 1; 2s + 1) \le 6 \log_3 n.$$

However, we should comment that when $k \ge \log n$, but k and s are both o(n), the inequality in Theorem 1.11 is relatively tight. This follows from the observation that

$$\dim(s, s+k; n) \geqslant \dim(1, k+1; n-s+1).$$

DIMENSION OF SUBORDERS OF BOOLEAN LAT

Our upper bound is not too far this lower bound n) are relatively close (see Problem 4.2).

2. Some Combinatorial Lemmas

To prove Theorem 1.11, we need to provide sions of \mathcal{B}_n . This family will be made up of designed to deal with those pairs (S,T) who designed to handle the remaining pairs. Our sets; in the next section, we shall apply it v

LEMMA 2.1. For all positive integers c of $\dim(1, c; n)$ linear extensions M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_n incomparable pairs $(S, T) \in \mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_n$ with T < S in M_i .

Proof. For any linear ordering σ of [n], on \mathcal{B}_n with respect to σ as follows. For two only if the σ -largest element of $S\Delta T = (S + L(\sigma))$ is a linear extension of \mathcal{B}_n .

Let $d = \dim(1, c; n)$; choose d linear ord all $X \in \mathcal{B}_n$ with $1 \leq |X| \leq c$ and all $y \in [n]$ greater than every element of X in σ_i . Let

Consider an incomparable pair $(S,T) \in y \in S - T$ and let X = T - S. Then there every element of X in σ_i . Thus T < S in .

For positive integers a, b, k, t and n with k $\{f_i: i \in [n]\}$ of functions from [t] to [a] C([n], b), there exists $\tau \in [t]$ with $|\{f_i(\tau): f_i(\tau): f_i$

LEMMA 2.2. For positive integers a, b, k,

$$\binom{n}{b} e^{kt} (k/a)^{(b-k)t} < 1,$$

then there exists an (a, b, k, t, n)-good sequence f_1, \ldots, f_n independently uniformly at randithat this sequence is not (a, b, k, t, n)-good

Prob
$$\left[\left|\left\{f_i(\tau):\ i\in X\right\}\right|\leqslant k\right]<\binom{a}{k}$$

G. R. BRIGHTWELL ET AL

ers k, n with $2k \leq n$, there exists a collection linear extensions of \mathcal{B}_n such that for any with $|S| < |T| \leqslant k + |S|$, there exists $L \in \mathbb{Z}$

 $18k \log n$,

 $\leq n$.

ving corollary.

ntegers s, k and n, with $s+k \leq n$,

teger n, there exists a collection Σ of $6\log_3 n$ ny incomparable pair $(S,T) \in \mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_n$ with hat T < S in L. In particular,

 $\leq n$.

that the inequalities in Theorems 1.11 and the following asymptotic formula is proved g earlier results of Spencer [6].

(1)) lg lg lg n.

an lattice, our upper and lower bounds are

 $< \dim(s, s+1; 2s+1) \le 6 \log_3 n.$

when $k \geqslant \log n$, but k and s are both o(n), vely tight. This follows from the observation

-s+1).

DIMENSION OF SUBORDERS OF BOOLEAN LATTICE

Our upper bound is not too far this lower bound whenever $\dim(1, k; n)$ and $\dim(1, 2k;$ n) are relatively close (see Problem 4.2).

2. Some Combinatorial Lemmas

To prove Theorem 1.11, we need to provide an appropriate family Σ of linear extensions of \mathcal{B}_n . This family will be made up of two sets of extensions; the first set is designed to deal with those pairs (S,T) where T-S is small, and the second set is designed to handle the remaining pairs. Our first lemma concerns the first of these sets; in the next section, we shall apply it with c = 2k.

LEMMA 2.1. For all positive integers c and n with $1 < c \le n$, there exist d = c $\dim(1,c;n)$ linear extensions M_1,M_2,\ldots,M_d of \mathcal{B}_n with the property that for all incomparable pairs $(S,T) \in \mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_n$ with $|T-S| \leq c$, there exists $i \in [d]$ such that T < S in M_i .

Proof. For any linear ordering σ of [n], define the lexicographical ordering $L(\sigma)$ on \mathcal{B}_n with respect to σ as follows. For two sets $S, T \in \mathcal{B}_n$, T < S in $L(\sigma)$ if and only if the σ -largest element of $S\Delta T = (S-T) \cup (T-S)$ is in S. Clearly, any such $L(\sigma)$ is a linear extension of \mathcal{B}_n .

Let $d = \dim(1, c; n)$; choose d linear orderings $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_d$ on [n] such that for all $X \in \mathcal{B}_n$ with $1 \leq |X| \leq c$ and all $y \in [n] - X$, there exists $i \in [d]$ such that y is greater than every element of X in σ_i . Let $M_i = L(\sigma_i)$, for all $i \in [d]$.

Consider an incomparable pair $(S,T) \in \mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_n$ such that $|T-S| \leq c$. Choose $y \in S - T$ and let X = T - S. Then there exists $i \in [d]$ such that y is greater than every element of X in σ_i . Thus T < S in M_i .

For positive integers a, b, k, t and n with $k < b \le n$ and k < a, we define a sequence $\{f_i: i \in [n]\}$ of functions from [t] to [a] to be (a,b,k,t,n)-good if, for each $X \in$ C([n], b), there exists $\tau \in [t]$ with $|\{f_i(\tau): i \in X\}| > k$.

LEMMA 2.2. For positive integers a, b, k, t, n with $k < b \le n$ and k < a, if

$$\binom{n}{b} e^{kt} (k/a)^{(b-k)t} < 1,$$

then there exists an (a, b, k, t, n)-good sequence.

Proof. Let S be the set of all functions from [t] to [a], and choose functions f_1, \ldots, f_n independently uniformly at random from S. We estimate the probability that this sequence is not (a, b, k, t, n)-good. For each $\tau \in [t]$ and each $X \in C([n], b)$,

Prob
$$\left[\left|\left\{f_i(\tau):\ i\in X\right\}\right|\leqslant k\right]<\binom{a}{k}(k/a)^b\leqslant \mathrm{e}^k(k/a)^{b-k}$$

and so

Prob
$$\left[\exists X \in C([n], b) \ \forall \tau \in [t] \left| \left\{ f_i(\tau) : \ i \in X \right\} \right| \leqslant k \right]$$

 $\leqslant \binom{n}{b} e^{kt} (k/a)^{(b-k)t} < 1.$

The lemma follows.

LEMMA 2.3. Let a, b, k, t and n be positive integers with $k < b \le n$ and k < a. If there exists an (a, b, k, t, n)-good sequence, then there exists a set

$$\Sigma = \{ L(\alpha, \tau, j) : \alpha \in [a], \ \tau \in [t] \ and \ j \in [2] \}$$

of 2at linear extensions of \mathcal{B}_n such that for all incomparable pairs $(S,T) \in \mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_n$ with both $|S| < |T| \leq k + |S|$ and $|T \triangle S| \geq b$, there exists $L \in \Sigma$ such that T < S in L.

Proof. Let $\{f_i\colon i\in [n]\}$ be an (a,b,k,t,n)-good sequence. Let M_1 and M_2 be two linear extensions of \mathcal{B}_n such that if $S,T\in\mathcal{B}_n$ satisfy |S|=|T|, then T< S in M_1 if and only if S< T in M_2 . For $S\in\mathcal{B}_n$, $\alpha\in[a]$, and $\tau\in[t]$, let $S(\alpha,\tau)=\{i\in S\colon f_i(\tau)=\alpha\}$. For all $\alpha\in[a]$, $\tau\in[t]$, and $j\in[2]$, define partial extensions $M(\alpha,\tau,j)$ on \mathcal{B}_n by T< S in $M(\alpha,\tau,j)$ if and only if either $|T(\alpha,\tau)|<|S(\alpha,\tau)|$ or both $|T(\alpha,\tau)|=|S(\alpha,\tau)|$ and $T(\alpha,\tau)<|S(\alpha,\tau)|$ in M_j . It is easy to check that each $M(\alpha,\tau,j)$, is a partial order which extends \mathcal{B}_n . Finally, let $L(\alpha,\tau,j)$ be any linear extension of $M(\alpha,\tau,j)$ for all $\alpha\in[a],\tau\in[t]$, and $j\in[2]$. We claim that

$$\Sigma = \{ L(\alpha, \tau, j) : \alpha \in [a], \tau \in [t] \text{ and } j \in [2] \}$$

satisfies our requirement. Consider an incomparable pair $(S,T) \in \mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_n$ with both $|S| < |T| \leqslant k + |S|$ and $|T \triangle S| \geqslant b$. Then there exists $X \subseteq T \triangle S$ with |X| = b. Since $\{f_i \colon i \in [n]\}$ is (a,b,k,t,n)-good, there exists $\tau \in [t]$ such that $|\{f_i(\tau) \colon i \in X\}| > k$. Since $|T| \leqslant k + |S|$, there exists $\alpha \in [a]$ such that either $|T(\alpha,\tau)| < |S(\alpha,\tau)|$ or both $\alpha \in \{f_i(\tau) \colon i \in X\}$ and $|T(\alpha,\tau)| = |S(\alpha,\tau)|$. In the first case, T < S in $L(\alpha,\tau,j)$ for any $j \in [2]$. In the second case, there exists $i \in X \subseteq T \triangle S$ such that $f_i(\tau) = \alpha$. Thus $i \in T(\alpha,\tau) \triangle S(\alpha,\tau)$, so that $T(\alpha,\tau) \neq S(\alpha,\tau)$. It follows that there exists $j \in [2]$ such that T < S in $L(\alpha,\tau,j)$.

3. Proofs of Theorems 1.11 and 1.13

We first prove Theorem 1.11. The result is trivial if $18k \log n \ge n$, so we may assume that $18k \log n < n$. We now set a = 3k, b = 3k and $t = 3 \log n$, and use the lemmas of the previous section. By Lemma 2.1, there is a collection Σ_1 of

DIMENSION OF SUBORDERS OF BOOLEAN LAT

 $\dim(1, 2k; n)$ linear extensions of \mathcal{B}_n such the elements of \mathcal{B}_n with $|T - S| \leq 2k$, we have Next we note that

$$\binom{n}{3k} e^{3k \log n} (k/3k)^{(3k-k)3 \log n} \le n^{3k} e^{3k}$$

so by Lemma 2.2, there is a $(3k, 3k, k, 3 \log n)$ tells us that there is a set Σ_2 of $18k \log n$ line S and T are incomparable sets with |S| < |T| $|T \triangle S| \ge 3k = b$, and so T < S in some $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$ then has the desired property. The

For the proof of Theorem 1.13, we need b = 2, k = 1, and $t = \lceil \lg n \rceil$. Observe findistinct functions from [t] to [3] is (3, 2, 1), that any pair of functions differ for some a linear extensions of \mathcal{B}_n provided by Lemma 2 theorem, since if S and T are incomparable so

4. Concluding Remarks

In stating the principal results (Theorems 1.1 to express our upper bounds in a form which approach seems justified by the fact that for lower bounds differ by a multiplicative factor

Our results suggest several new problems older ones, beginning of course with improv or derived in this paper. Here are two no particularly appealing.

PROBLEM 4.1. For a fixed positive integer t_t so that $\dim(1,t;n) \leq c_t \log \log n$.

PROBLEM 4.2. For a fixed positive integer

$$\dim(1, ks; n)/\dim(1, s; n).$$

For fixed values of k and n, what value of k

Note that Problem 4.2 is already interesting featured in Theorem 1.11.

 $i(\tau): i \in X\} | \leqslant k$

itive integers with $k < b \le n$ and k < a. If ce, then there exists a set

 $nd \ j \in [2]$

for all incomparable pairs $(S,T) \in \mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_n$ $| \geq b$, there exists $L \in \Sigma$ such that T < S in

that if $S, T \in \mathcal{B}_n$ satisfy |S| = |T|, then if M_2 . For $S \in \mathcal{B}_n$, $\alpha \in [a]$, and $\tau \in [t]$, all $\alpha \in [a]$, $\tau \in [t]$, and $j \in [2]$, define T < S in $M(\alpha, \tau, j)$ if and only if either $|S(\alpha, \tau)|$ and $T(\alpha, \tau) < S(\alpha, \tau)$ in M_j . It is partial order which extends \mathcal{B}_n . Finally, let α, τ, j for all $\alpha \in [a]$, $\tau \in [t]$, and $j \in [2]$.

 $d j \in [2]$

comparable pair $(S,T) \in \mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_n$ with both a there exists $X \subseteq T\Delta S$ with |X| = b. Since exists $\tau \in [t]$ such that $|\{f_i(\tau): i \in X\}| > k$. such that either $|T(\alpha,\tau)| < |S(\alpha,\tau)|$ or both $|\tau|$. In the first case, |T| < S in $|L(\alpha,\tau,j)|$ for sts $|T| < T\Delta S$ such that $|T| < T\Delta S$ su

alt is trivial if $18k \log n \ge n$, so we may t = a = 3k, b = 3k and $t = 3 \log n$, and use by Lemma 2.1, there is a collection Σ_1 of

 $\dim(1, 2k; n)$ linear extensions of \mathcal{B}_n such that, whenever S and T are incomparable elements of \mathcal{B}_n with $|T - S| \leq 2k$, we have T < S in some extension in Σ_1 .

Next we note that

$$\binom{n}{3k} e^{3k \log n} (k/3k)^{(3k-k)3\log n} \le n^{3k} e^{3k \log n} 3^{-6k \log n} \le (e/3)^{6k \log n} < 1,$$

by Lemma 2.2, there is a $(3k, 3k, k, 3 \log n, n)$ -good sequence. Now Lemma 2.3 tells us that there is a set Σ_2 of $18k \log n$ linear extensions of \mathcal{B}_n such that, whenever S and S are incomparable sets with $|S| < |T| \le k + |S|$ and $|T - S| \ge 2k$, we have $|T \triangle S| \ge 3k = b$, and so |T| < S| in some extension in $|\Sigma|$. The combined family $|\Sigma| = |\Sigma| = |\Sigma| = |\Sigma| = 1$.

For the proof of Theorem 1.13, we need only apply Lemma 2.3 with a=3, b=2, k=1, and $t=\lceil \lg n \rceil$. Observe first that any sequence $\{f_i\colon i\in [n]\}$ of distinct functions from [t] to [3] is (3,2,1,t,n)-good: the condition states exactly that any pair of functions differ for some argument. The collection Σ of $6\log_3 n$ linear extensions of \mathcal{B}_n provided by Lemma 2.3 now satisfies the requirements of the theorem, since if S and T are incomparable sets with |T|=|S|+1, then $|T\Delta S| \ge 2$.

4. Concluding Remarks

In stating the principal results (Theorems 1.11 and 1.13) of this paper, we have chosen to express our upper bounds in a form which makes the analysis straightforward. This approach seems justified by the fact that for most of our inequalities, our upper and lower bounds differ by a multiplicative factor which is at least as large as $\log \log n$.

Our results suggest several new problems and reinforce the importance of some older ones, beginning of course with improvements to the various inequalities cited or derived in this paper. Here are two new problems which we consider to be particularly appealing.

PROBLEM 4.1. For a fixed positive integer t, find (or estimate) the least number s_t so that $dim(1,t;n) \leq c_t \log \log n$.

PROBLEM 4.2. For a fixed positive integer k, investigate the behavior of the ratio

$$\dim(1, ks; n) / \dim(1, s; n)$$
.

For fixed values of k and n, what value of s makes this ratio maximum?

Note that Problem 4.2 is already interesting for small values of k, as the value k = 2 is featured in Theorem 1.11.

Note added in proof

After this manuscript was submitted, Kostochka improved the upper bound on $\dim(s, s+1; n)$ by showing that $\dim(s, s+1; n) = O(\log n/\log\log n)$. Kierstead showed that $\dim(1, k; n) \ge (1 - o(1))2^{k-2} \lg \lg n$, when $k < \lg \lg n - \lg \lg \lg n$. Kierstead also showed that $k^2 \lg n/33 \lg k < \dim(1, k; n)$, when $2^{\lg l/2} n \le k \le 2\sqrt{n} - 2$. Proofs will appear elsewhere.

References

- 1. Dushnik, B. (1950) Concerning a certain set of arrangements, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1, 788-796.
- 2. Füredi, Z. personal communication.
- Füredi, Z., Hajnal, P., Rödl, V. and Trotter, W. T. (1991) Interval orders and shift graphs, in A. Hajnal and V. T. Sos (eds), Sets, Graphs and Numbers, Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai 60, 297-313.
- Füredi, Z. and Kahn, J. (1986) On the dimensions of ordered sets of bounded degree, Order 3, 17-20.
- 5. Hurlbert, G., Kostochka, A. V. and Talysheva, L. A. On the dimension of P(2, k; n) for large k, to appear.
- 6. Spencer, J. (1972) Minimal scrambling sets of simple orders, Acta Math. Hungar. 22, 349-353.
- Trotter, W. T. (1991) Combinatorics and Partially Ordered Sets: Dimension Theory, The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland.

Order 11: 135–148, 1994.

© 1994 Kluwer Academic Publishers. Printed in the

Generalized Dimension of Its MacNeille Completion

PHILIPPE BALDY and JUTTA MITA LIRMM, CNRS et Université de Montpellier II, 161 re

Communicated by M. Pouzet

(Received: 30 May 1994; accepted: 8 July 1994)

Abstract. We investigate generalizations of the order and study the question for which classes the generalizeompletion is the same. We present proofs for a numconjecture.

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991). 06A07.

Key words. Ordered sets, dimension, generalized dir

1. Introduction

The dimension of an ordered set, defined number of linear extensions such that their notion can be generalized by taking instead for the realizer. For an ordered set P = containing all chains we will denote by

C-dim P

the least number of ordered sets $C_1 = (X P = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_i \text{ (i.e., more precisely } \leq_P = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_i \text{ of interval orders, we get the interval dimensions}$

The MacNeille completion $\mathcal{L}(P)$ of an ordered set can be order-preserving enorder dimension (i.e., the C-dimension whe same for an ordered set P and its MacNeille al. [7] show that the same property holds also we will show that this property remains the satisfying an equivalent to a theorem by

^{*} The second author acknowledges the support by t